

Hearing Date and Time: January 9, 2014 at 10:00 a.m. (Prevailing Eastern Time)
Response Date and Time: January 3, 2014 at 4:00 p.m. (Prevailing Eastern Time)

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
1290 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10104
Telephone: (212) 468-8000
Facsimile: (212) 468-7900
Gary S. Lee
Norman S. Rosenbaum
Jordan A. Wishnew

*Counsel for the Debtors and
Debtors in Possession*

**CURTIS, MALLET-PREVOST,
COLT & MOSLE LLP**
101 Park Avenue
New York, New York 10178-0061
Telephone: (212) 696-6000
Facsimile: (212) 697-1559
Steven J. Reisman
Theresa A. Foudy
Maryann Gallagher

*Conflicts Counsel for the Debtors and
Debtors in Possession*

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

In re:) Case No. 12-12020 (MG)
RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, et al.,) Chapter 11
Debtors.) Jointly Administered
)

**NOTICE OF DEBTORS' FIFTY-FIFTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION
TO CLAIMS (NO LIABILITY – ASSIGNED CONTRACT CLAIMS)**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the undersigned have filed the attached *Debtors'* *Fifty-Fifth Omnibus Objection to Claims (No Liability – Assigned Contract Claims)* (the "Omnibus Objection"), which seeks to alter your rights by disallowing your claim against the above-captioned Debtors.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that a hearing on the Omnibus Objection will take place on **January 9, 2014 at 10:00 a.m. (Prevailing Eastern Time)** before the Honorable Martin Glenn, at the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District

of New York, Alexander Hamilton Custom House, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004-1408, Room 501.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that objections, if any, to the Omnibus Objection must be made in writing, conform to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the Southern District of New York, and the Notice, Case Management, and Administrative Procedures approved by the Bankruptcy Court [Docket No. 141], be filed electronically by registered users of the Bankruptcy Court's electronic case filing system, and be served, so as to be received no later than **January 3, 2014 at 4:00 p.m. (Prevailing Eastern Time)**, upon: (a) counsel to the Debtors, Morrison & Foerster LLP, 1290 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10104 (Attention: Gary S. Lee, Norman S. Rosenbaum, and Jordan A. Wishnew); (b) the Office of the United States Trustee for the Southern District of New York, U.S. Federal Office Building, 201 Varick Street, Suite 1006, New York, NY 10014 (Attention: Tracy Hope Davis, Linda A. Riffkin, and Brian S. Masumoto); (c) the Office of the United States Attorney General, U.S. Department of Justice, 950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20530-0001 (Attention: US Attorney General, Eric H. Holder, Jr.); (d) Office of the New York State Attorney General, The Capitol, Albany, NY 12224-0341 (Attention: Nancy Lord, and Enid N. Stuart); (e) Office of the U.S. Attorney for the Southern District of New York, One St. Andrews Plaza, New York, NY 10007 (Attention: Joseph N. Cordaro, Esq.); (f) counsel for Ally Financial Inc., Kirkland & Ellis LLP, 153 East 53rd Street, New York, NY 10022 (Attention: Richard M. Cieri and Ray Schrock); (g) counsel for the committee of unsecured creditors, Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP, 1177 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10036 (Attention: Kenneth Eckstein

and Douglas Mannal); (h) counsel for Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC, Clifford Chance US LLP, 31 West 52nd Street, New York, NY 10019 (Attention: Jennifer C. DeMarco and Adam Lesman); (i) counsel for Berkshire Hathaway Inc., Munger, Tolles & Olson LLP, 355 South Grand Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90071 (Attention: Thomas Walper and Seth Goldman); (j) Internal Revenue Service, P.O. Box 7346, Philadelphia, PA 19101-7346 (if by overnight mail, to 2970 Market Street, Mail Stop 5-Q30.133, Philadelphia, PA 19104-5016); (k) Securities and Exchange Commission, New York Regional Office, 3 World Financial Center, Suite 400, New York, NY 10281-1022 (Attention: George S. Canellos, Regional Director); (l) special counsel to the Committee, SilvermanAcampora LLP, 100 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300, Jericho, NY 11753 (Attention: Ronald J. Friedman) and (m) conflicts counsel to the Debtors, Curtis, Mallet-Prevost, Colt & Mosle LLP, 101 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10178-0061 (Attention: Steven J. Reisman, Theresa A. Foudy and Maryann Gallagher).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that if you do not timely file and serve a written response to the relief requested in the Omnibus Objection, the Bankruptcy Court may deem any opposition waived, treat the Omnibus Objection as conceded, and enter an order granting the relief requested in the Omnibus Objection without further notice or hearing.

(Signature Page to Follow)

Dated: December 12, 2013
New York, New York

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Norman S. Rosenbaum

Gary S. Lee
Norman S. Rosenbaum
Jordan A. Wishnew
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
1290 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10104
Telephone: (212) 468-8000
Facsimile: (212) 468-7900

*Counsel for the Debtors and
Debtors in Possession*

-and-

/s/ Steven J. Reisman

Steven J. Reisman
Theresa A. Foudy
Maryann Gallagher
**CURTIS, MALLET-PREVOST,
COLT & MOSLE LLP**
101 Park Avenue
New York, New York 10178-0061
Telephone: (212) 696-6000
Facsimile: (212) 697-1559

*Conflicts Counsel for the Debtors
and Debtors in Possession*

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
1290 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10104
Telephone: (212) 468-8000
Facsimile: (212) 468-7900
Gary S. Lee
Norman S. Rosenbaum
Jordan A. Wishnew

*Counsel for the Debtors and
Debtors in Possession*

**CURTIS, MALLET-PREVOST,
COLT & MOSLE LLP**
101 Park Avenue
New York, New York 10178-0061
Telephone: (212) 696-6000
Facsimile: (212) 697-1559
Steven J. Reisman
Theresa A. Foudy
Maryann Gallagher

*Conflicts Counsel for the Debtors and
Debtors in Possession*

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

In re:) Case No. 12-12020 (MG)
RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, et al.,) Chapter 11
Debtors.) Jointly Administered
)

**DEBTORS' FIFTY-FIFTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION
TO CLAIMS (NO LIABILITY– ASSIGNED CONTRACT CLAIMS)**

**THIS OBJECTION SEEKS TO DISALLOW AND EXPUNGE CERTAIN FILED PROOFS OF
CLAIM. CLAIMANTS RECEIVING THIS OBJECTION SHOULD LOCATE THEIR NAMES
AND CLAIMS ON EXHIBIT A ATTACHED TO THE PROPOSED ORDER.**

**IF YOU HAVE QUESTIONS, PLEASE CONTACT
DEBTORS' COUNSEL, JORDAN A. WISHNEW, AT (212) 468-8000.**

TO THE HONORABLE MARTIN GLENN
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE:

Residential Capital, LLC and its affiliated debtors, in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases (the “Chapter 11 Cases”), as debtors and debtors in possession (collectively, the “Debtors”), respectfully represent:

RELIEF REQUESTED

1. The Debtors file this fifty-fifth omnibus objection to claims (the “Fifty-Fifth Omnibus Claims Objection”) pursuant to section 502(b) of title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”), Rule 3007(d) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “Bankruptcy Rules”), and this Court’s order approving procedures for the filing of omnibus objections to proofs of claim filed in these Chapter 11 Cases (the “Procedures Order”) [Docket No. 3294], seeking entry of an order (the “Proposed Order”), in a form substantially similar to that attached hereto as Exhibit 2, disallowing and expunging the claims listed on Exhibit A¹ annexed to the Proposed Order. In support of this Fifty-Fifth Omnibus Claims Objection, the Debtors submit the *Declaration of Deanna Horst in Support of the Debtors’ Fifty-Fifth Omnibus Claims Objection (No Liability – Assigned Contract Claims)* (the “Horst Declaration”), attached hereto as Exhibit 1 and filed concurrently herewith.

2. The Debtors examined the proofs of claim identified on Exhibit A to the Proposed Order filed by certain claimants (collectively, the “Cure Claimants”), and determined that the proofs of claim identified on Exhibit A (collectively, the “Assigned Contract Claims”) are not valid and enforceable against the Debtors because such claims are for cure obligations related to contracts that were assumed by the Debtors and assigned to either Ocwen Loan

¹ Claims listed on Exhibit A are reflected in the same manner as they appear on the Claims Register maintained by KCC (defined herein).

Servicing, LLC (“Ocwen”) or Walter Investment Management Corporation (“Walter”) in connection with the Platform Sale (defined below). (See Horst Declaration ¶ 4). The Platform Sale Order (defined below), provides, in pertinent part, that the Debtors cured, or provided adequate assurance of cure, of any default existing prior to entry of the Platform Sale Order, and the Cure Claimants were provided with the requisite cure payment pursuant to section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code. The Assigned Contract Claims were satisfied in the manner set forth in the Platform Sale Order. (See Horst Declaration ¶ 4). In addition, section 365(k) of the Bankruptcy Code relieves the Debtors’ estates from any liability for defaults occurring after assignment of the relevant contracts to Ocwen or Walter, as applicable. Accordingly, the Debtors have no liability for the Assigned Contract Claims and seek entry of the Proposed Order disallowing and expunging the Assigned Contract Claims from the Debtors’ Claims Register (defined below).

3. The Debtors expressly reserve all rights to object on any other basis to any Assigned Contract Claim as to which the Court does not grant the relief requested herein.

JURISDICTION

4. This Court has jurisdiction to consider this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. Venue is proper before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b).

GENERAL BACKGROUND

5. On May 14, 2012, each of the Debtors filed a voluntary petition in this Court for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. The Debtors are managing and operating their businesses as debtors in possession pursuant to Bankruptcy Code sections 1107(a) and 1108. These Chapter 11 Cases are being jointly administered pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 1015(b).

6. On May 16, 2012, the United States Trustee for the Southern District of New York appointed a nine member official committee of unsecured creditors [Docket No. 102].

7. On May 26, 2012, the Court entered an order [Docket No. 96] appointing Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC ("KCC") as the notice and claims agent in these Chapter 11 Cases. Among other things, KCC is authorized to (a) receive, maintain, and record and otherwise administer the proofs of claim filed in these Chapter 11 Cases and (b) maintain the official claims register (the "Claims Register") for the Debtors.

8. On June 20, 2012, the Court directed that an examiner be appointed (the "Examiner"), and on July 3, 2012, the Court approved Arthur J. Gonzalez as the Examiner [Docket Nos. 454, 674]. On May 13, 2013, the Examiner filed his report under seal [Docket Nos. 3677, 3697]. On June 26, 2013, the report was unsealed and made available to the public [Docket No. 4099].

9. On August 29, 2012, this Court entered an order approving the Debtors' motion to establish procedures for filing proofs of claim in the Chapter 11 Cases [Docket No. 1309] (the "Bar Date Order"). The Bar Date Order established, among other things, (i) November 9, 2012 at 5:00 p.m. (Prevailing Eastern Time) as the deadline to file proofs of claim by virtually all creditors against the Debtors (the "General Bar Date") and prescribed the form and manner for filing proofs of claim; and (ii) November 30, 2012 at 5:00 p.m. (Prevailing Eastern Time) as the deadline for governmental units to file proofs of claim (the "Governmental Bar Date"). (Bar Date Order ¶¶ 2, 3). On November 7, 2012, the Court entered an order extending the General Bar Date to November 16, 2012 at 5:00 p.m. (Prevailing Eastern Time) [Docket No. 2093]. The Governmental Bar Date was not extended.

10. On March 21, 2013, the Court entered the Procedures Order, which authorizes the Debtors to, among other things, file omnibus objections to no more than 150

claims at a time, on various grounds, including those set forth in Bankruptcy Rule 3007(d) and those additional grounds set forth in the Procedures Order.

11. On July 3, 2013, the Plan Proponents filed the *Joint Chapter 11 Plan Proposed by Residential Capital, LLC, et al. and the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors* [Docket No. 4153] and the *Disclosure Statement for the Joint Chapter 11 Plan Proposed by Residential Capital, LLC, et al. and the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors* [Docket No. 4157] (the “Disclosure Statement”). On August 16, 2013, the Plan Proponents filed a revised Disclosure Statement, which included a revised copy of the plan filed on July 3, 2013 [Docket No. 4733], each of which were further revised on August 20, 2013 [Docket No. 4770] and August 23, 2013 [Docket No. 4819]. On August 23, 2013, the Court entered an order approving, *inter alia*, the Disclosure Statement, as amended [Docket No. 4809].

12. On December 3, 2013, the Debtors and Creditors Committee filed the *Second Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan Proposed by Residential Capital, LLC et al. and the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors* (as amended from time to time, the “Plan”). The hearing to consider confirmation of the Plan was held on November 19-22, and 25-26, 2013. On December 11, 2013, parties appeared before the Court for closing arguments, and the Court entered an order confirming the Plan [Docket No. 6065].

13. To date, approximately 7,310 proofs of claim have been filed in these Chapter 11 Cases as reflected on the Debtors’ Claims Register.

14. No Borrower Claims (as defined in the Procedures Order) are included in this Fifty-Fifth Omnibus Claims Objection.

THE SALE OF THE DEBTORS’ SERVICING PLATFORM

15. On the Petition Date, the Debtors filed the *Motion Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 105, 363(b), (f), and (m), 365 and 1123, and Fed R. Bankr. P. 2002, 6004, 6006, and 9014 for*

Orders: (I)(A) Authorizing and Approving Sale Procedures, Including Break-Up Fee and Expenses Reimbursement; (II) Scheduling Bid Deadline and Sale Hearing; (III) Approving Form and Manner of Notice Thereof; and (IV) Granting Related Relief and (B)(I) Authorizing the Sale of Certain Assets Free and Clear of Liens, Claims, Encumbrances, and Other Interests; (II) Authorizing and Approving Asset Purchase Agreements Thereto; (III) Approving the Assumption and Assignment of Certain Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases Related Thereto; and (IV) Granting Related Relief [Docket No. 61] (the “Sale Motion”).

16. On June 28, 2012, the Court entered the sale procedures order, which, among other things, established procedures for the assumption and assignment of contracts to be assumed by the Debtors and assigned to a purchaser, procedures for fixing cure amounts, and notice procedures relating to the same [Docket No. 538].

17. On October 23, 2012 and October 24, 2012, the Debtors successfully conducted an auction for the sale of the servicing platform (the “Platform Sale”) at the conclusion of which, Ocwen emerged as the prevailing bidder.

18. At a hearing held on November 19, 2012, the Court approved the Sale Motion on the record.

19. On November 21, 2012, the Court approved the Platform Sale and related asset purchase agreement with Ocwen (the “Ocwen APA”), and entered the *Order Under 11 U.S.C. §§ 105, 363 and 365 and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002, 6004, 6006 and 9014 (I) Approving (A) Sale of Debtors’ Assets Pursuant to Asset Purchase Agreement with Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC; (B) Sale of Purchased Assets Free and Clear of Liens, Claims, Encumbrances, and Other Interests; (C) Assumption and Assignment of Certain Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases Thereto; (D) Related Agreements; and (II) Granting Related Relief* [Docket No. 2246] (the “Platform Sale Order”). Pursuant to the Ocwen APA and the Platform Sale Order, effective as of

the closing of the Platform Sale, the Debtors had the right to “assign and transfer to [Ocwen] . . . all of [the Debtors’] right, title, and interest in, to and under” certain contracts and leases related to assets purchased through the Platform Sale (the “Ocwen Assigned Contracts”). (Ocwen APA § 2.2). Upon assignment, Ocwen would assume the Ocwen Assigned Contracts “and be responsible for all of the Assumed Liabilities and the [Debtors] shall have no further obligations with respect thereto.” (Id. §§ 2.2, 2.7). Pursuant to the Ocwen APA, the Debtors designated hundreds of contracts as Ocwen Assigned Contracts.

20. The Ocwen APA contemplates Ocwen’s “right to assign the [Ocwen APA] and any or all rights or obligations [thereunder]” to Walter solely with respect to certain assets and rights relating to residential mortgage loan originations and capital markets platforms. (Ocwen APA § 6.15). Accordingly, the Debtors were able to effect such assignment of rights and obligations under the Ocwen APA in connection with those assets transferred to Walter (“Walter Assigned Contracts” and, together with the Ocwen Assigned Contracts, the “Assigned Contracts”).

21. The Platform Sale Order found and states that,

“Except as provided in the Ocwen APA or this Order, after the Closing, the Debtors and their estates shall have no further liabilities or obligations with respect to any Assumed Contract other than certain Cure Amounts, and all holders of such claims arising from and after Closing under any Assumed Contract are forever barred and estopped from asserting any claims (other than for Cure Amounts) under any Assumed Contract against the Debtors, their successors or assigns, and their estates.” Platform Sale Order ¶ 19.

22. The exceptions referred to in paragraph 19 of the Platform Sale Order do not relate to the Assigned Contract Claims.

23. The Walter component of the Platform Sale closed on January 31, 2013, and on February 15, 2013, the Ocwen component of the Platform Sale closed.

24. Following the closing of the Platform Sale, the Debtors satisfied any cure amounts they owed to the Cure Claimants pursuant to the Platform Sale Order. (See Horst Declaration ¶ 4).

**THE ASSIGNED CONTRACT CLAIMS SHOULD BE
DISALLOWED AND EXPUNGED**

25. Based upon their review of the proofs of claim filed on the Claims Register, the Debtors determined that they have no liability for any of the Assigned Contract Claims identified on Exhibit A annexed to the Proposed Order. Each of the Assigned Contract Claims relates to a cure claim satisfied in connection with the Platform Sale or which now constitutes an obligation of Ocwen or Walter. Accordingly, these claims do not represent valid prepetition claims against the Debtors. If the Assigned Contract Claims are not disallowed and expunged, the Cure Claimants who filed these proofs of claim may potentially receive a wholly improper recovery to the detriment of other creditors in these Chapter 11 Cases. (See Horst Declaration ¶ 4).

26. A filed proof of claim is “deemed allowed, unless a party in interest . . . objects.” 11 U.S.C. § 502(a). If an objection refuting at least one of the claim’s essential allegations is asserted, the claimant has the burden to demonstrate the validity of the claim. See In re Oneida Ltd., 400 B.R. 384, 389 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2009); In re Adelphia Commc’ns Corp., Ch. 11 Case No. 02-41729 (REG), 2007 Bankr. LEXIS 660, at *15 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Feb. 20, 2007); In re Rockefeller Ctr. Props., 272 B.R. 524, 539 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2000).

27. Section 502(b)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code provides, in relevant part, that a claim may not be allowed to the extent that “such claim is unenforceable against the debtor and property of the debtor, under any agreement or applicable law.” 11 U.S.C. 502(b)(1). In addition, pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 3007(d)(5), a debtor may object to claims and seek their disallowance where such claims “have been satisfied or released during the case in accordance

with the Code, applicable rules, or a court order.” Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007(d)(5). The Debtors diligently analyzed the proofs of claim identified on Exhibit A to the Proposed Order, and determined that each Assigned Contract Claim, in addition to being based on an Assigned Contract that was assigned to either Ocwen or Walter pursuant to the Ocwen APA, has been satisfied by a cure payment made by the Debtors. Thus, pursuant to the Platform Sale Order, the Debtors are not liable for the Assigned Contract Claims asserted by the Cure Claimants.

28. Pursuant to the Platform Sale Order, the Court expressly found that any obligations under any of the Assigned Contracts relating to pre-sale closing acts or omissions “shall be deemed cured or satisfied upon payment by the [Debtors] of the Cure Amount.”² Platform Sale Order ¶ 18. “The Cure Amounts reflect the sole amounts necessary under section 365(b) of the Bankruptcy Code to cure all monetary defaults under the [Assigned Contracts], and no other amounts are or shall be due to the non-debtor parties in connection with the assumption by the Debtors and assignment to [Ocwen or Walter, as applicable,] of the [Assigned Contracts].” Id.

29. Section 365(k) of the Bankruptcy Code further establishes that the Debtors do not have liability for the Assigned Contract Claims. Section 365(k) relieves a debtor that assigns an executory contract from liability for any default occurring after such assignment. Accordingly, the Debtors are not liable for the Assigned Contract Claims pursuant to section 365(k) of the Bankruptcy Code. The Platform Sale Order, specifically provides that the Debtors do not have liability for the Assigned Contract Claims after the closing of the Platform Sale.³

² “Cure Amount” is defined in the Ocwen APA as “the amount payable in order to cure all defaults on Assumed Contracts and effectuate the assumption by Sellers of an Assumed Contract and the assignment to Purchaser pursuant to section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code as provided in the Sale Approval Order or other Order of the Bankruptcy Court.”

³ See Platform Sale Order ¶ 19.

30. Accordingly, under the terms of the Ocwen APA and the Platform Sale Order, and because the Debtors have satisfied any cure amounts owed to the Cure Claimants, the Debtors have no liability in connection with the Assigned Contract Claims. If the Assigned Contract Claims remain on the Claims Register, the Cure Claimants, as parties without valid claims against the Debtors, may potentially receive an improper recovery to the detriment of claimants with valid claims. Therefore, the Debtors request that the Court disallow and expunge in their entirety the Assigned Contract Claims.

NOTICE

31. The Debtors have served notice of this Fifty-Fifth Omnibus Claims Objection in accordance with the Case Management Procedures entered on May 23, 2012 [Docket No. 141] and the Procedures Order. The Debtors submit that no other or further notice need be provided.

NO PRIOR REQUEST

32. No previous request for the relief sought herein has been made by the Debtors to this or any other court.

CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, the Debtors respectfully request that the Court enter an order substantially in the form of the Proposed Order granting the relief requested herein and granting such other relief as is just and proper.

(Signature Page to follow)

Dated: December 12, 2013
New York, New York

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Norman S. Rosenbaum

Gary S. Lee
Norman S. Rosenbaum
Jordan A. Wishnew
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
1290 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10104
Telephone: (212) 468-8000
Facsimile: (212) 468-7900

*Counsel for the Debtors and
Debtors in Possession*

-and-

/s/ Steven J. Reisman

Steven J. Reisman
Theresa A. Foudy
Maryann Gallagher
**CURTIS, MALLET-PREVOST,
COLT & MOSLE LLP**
101 Park Avenue
New York, New York 10178-0061
Telephone: (212) 696-6000
Facsimile: (212) 697-1559

*Conflicts Counsel for the Debtors and
Debtors in Possession*

Exhibit 1

Horst Declaration

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

In re:)	Case No. 12-12020 (MG)
)	
RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, <u>et al.</u> ,)	Chapter 11
)	
Debtors.)	Jointly Administered
)	

**DECLARATION OF DEANNA HORST IN SUPPORT OF DEBTORS'
FIFTY-FIFTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS
(NO LIABILITY – ASSIGNED CONTRACT CLAIMS)**

I, Deanna Horst, hereby declare as follows:

1. I am the Chief Claims Officer for Residential Capital, LLC and its affiliates (“ResCap”), a limited liability company organized under the laws of the state of Delaware and the parent of the other debtors and debtors in possession in the above-captioned Chapter 11 Cases (collectively, the “Debtors”).¹ I have been employed by affiliates of ResCap since August of 2001. In June 2012, I became Senior Director of Claims Management for ResCap and have held my current position since October of 2013. I began my association with ResCap in 2001 as the Director, Responsible Lending Manager, charged with managing the Debtors’ responsible lending on-site due diligence program. In 2002, I became the Director of Quality Asset Management, managing Client Repurchase, Quality Assurance and Compliance—a position I held until 2006, at which time I became the Vice President of the Credit Risk Group, managing Correspondent and Broker approval and monitoring. In 2011, I became the Vice President, Business Risk and Controls, and supported GMAC Mortgage, LLC and Ally Bank in this role. In my current position, I am responsible for Claims Management and Reconciliation

¹ The names of the Debtors in these cases and their respective tax identification numbers are identified on Exhibit 1 to the *Affidavit of James Whitlinger, Chief Financial Officer of Residential Capital, LLC, in Support of Chapter 11 Petitions and First Day Pleadings* [Docket No. 6], dated May 14, 2012.

and Client Recovery. I am authorized to submit this declaration (the “Declaration”) in support of the *Debtors’ Fifty-Fifth Omnibus Objection to Claims (No Liability – Assigned Contract Claims)* (the “Objection”).²

2. Except as otherwise indicated, all facts set forth in this Declaration are based upon my personal knowledge of the Debtors’ operations and finances, information learned from my review of relevant documents and information I have received through my discussions with other members of the Debtors’ management or other employees of the Debtors, the Debtors’ professionals and consultants, and/or Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC, the Debtors’ notice and claims agent. If I were called upon to testify, I could and would testify competently to the facts set forth in the Objection on that basis.

3. In my capacity as Chief Claims Officer, I am intimately familiar with the Debtors’ claims reconciliation process. Except as otherwise indicated, all statements in this Declaration are based upon my familiarity with the Debtors’ books and records (the “Books and Records”), the Debtors’ schedules of assets and liabilities and statements of financial affairs filed in these Chapter 11 Cases (collectively, the “Schedules”), my review and reconciliation of claims, and/or my review of relevant documents. I or my designee at my direction have reviewed and analyzed the proof of claim forms and supporting documentation, if any, filed by the claimants listed on Exhibit A annexed to the Proposed Order. In connection with such review and analysis, where applicable, the Debtors have reviewed (i) information supplied or verified by personnel in departments within the Debtors’ various business units, (ii) the Books and Records, (iii) the Schedules, (iv) other filed proofs of claim, and/or (v) the Claims Register.

² Capitalized terms used herein but not otherwise defined shall have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the Objection.

4. Under my supervision, considerable resources and time have been expended to ensure a high level of diligence in reviewing and reconciling the proofs of claim filed in these Chapter 11 Cases. Based on a thorough review of the proofs of claim at issue, the Debtors have determined that each claim listed in the rows below the column entitled "*Claim to be Disallowed and Expunged*" on Exhibit A annexed to the Proposed Order relate to Assigned Contracts, and to my knowledge, the Debtors satisfied all cure claims associated with such Assigned Contracts pursuant to the terms of the Platform Sale Order. If the Assigned Contract Claims are not disallowed and expunged, then the Cure Claimants may potentially receive a wholly improper recovery to the detriment of other creditors.

5. Accordingly, based upon this review, and for the reasons set forth in the Objection, I have determined that each Assigned Contract Claim that is the subject of the Objection should be accorded the proposed treatment described in the Objection.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: December 12, 2013

/s/ Deanna Horst

Deanna Horst

Chief Claims Officer for Residential Capital,
LLC

Exhibit 2

Proposed Order

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

In re:)	Case No. 12-12020 (MG)
)	
RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, <u>et al.</u> ,)	Chapter 11
)	
Debtors.)	Jointly Administered
)	

**ORDER GRANTING DEBTORS' FIFTY-FIFTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION
TO CLAIMS (NO LIABILITY- ASSIGNED CONTRACT CLAIMS)**

Upon the fifty-fifth omnibus claims objection, dated December 12, 2013 [Docket No. [] (the “Fifty-Fifth Claims Objection”),¹ of Residential Capital, LLC and its affiliated debtors in the above-referenced Chapter 11 Cases, as debtors and debtors in possession (collectively, the “Debtors”), seeking entry of an order, pursuant to section 502(b) of title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”), Rule 3007(d) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “Bankruptcy Rules”), and this Court’s order approving procedures for the filing of omnibus objections to proofs of claim [Docket No. 3294] (the “Procedures Order”), disallowing and expunging the Assigned Contract Claims on the basis that such claims relate to contracts for which the Debtors do not have liability because a cure claim was satisfied in connection with the assumption and assignment of such contracts pursuant to the Platform Sale Order, all as more fully described in the Fifty-Fifth Claims Objection; and it appearing that this Court has jurisdiction to consider the Fifty-Fifth Claims Objection pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334; and consideration of the Fifty-Fifth Claims Objection and the relief requested therein being a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b); and venue being proper before

¹ Capitalized terms used herein and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the Debtors’ Fifty-Fifth Claims Objection.

this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and due and proper notice of the Fifty-Fifth Claims Objection having been provided, and it appearing that no other or further notice need be provided; and upon consideration of the Fifty-Fifth Claims Objection and the Declaration of Deanna Horst in Support of Debtors' Fifty-Fifth Objection to Claims (No Liability – Assigned Contract Claims), annexed to the Fifty-Fifth Claims Objection as Exhibit 1; and the Court having found and determined that the relief sought in the Fifty-Fifth Claims Objection is in the best interests of the Debtors, their estates, creditors, and all parties in interest and that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Fifty-Fifth Claims Objection establish just cause for the relief granted herein; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor, it is

ORDERED that the relief requested in the Fifty-Fifth Claims Objection is granted to the extent provided herein; and it is further

ORDERED that, pursuant to section 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, the claims listed on Exhibit A annexed hereto are disallowed and expunged with prejudice; and it is further

ORDERED that Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC, the Debtors' claims and noticing agent, is directed to expunge the Assigned Contract Claims identified on the schedule attached as Exhibit A hereto so that such claims are no longer maintained on the Debtors' official claims register; and it is further

ORDERED that the Debtors are authorized and empowered to take all actions as may be necessary and appropriate to implement the terms of this Order; and it is further

ORDERED that notice of the Fifty-Fifth Claims Objection as provided therein shall be deemed good and sufficient notice of such objection, and the requirements of Bankruptcy Rule 3007(a), the Case Management Procedures entered on May 23, 2012 [Docket

No. 141], the Procedures Order, and the Local Bankruptcy Rules of this Court are satisfied by such notice; and it is further

ORDERED that this Order shall be a final order with respect to each of the Assigned Contract Claims identified on Exhibit A, annexed hereto, as if each such Assigned Contract Claim had been individually objected to; and it is further

ORDERED that this Order has no res judicata, estoppel, or other effect on the validity, allowance, or disallowance of any claim not listed on Exhibit A annexed to this Order, and the Debtors' and all parties in interests' right to object on any basis are expressly reserved with respect to any claim not listed on Exhibit A annexed hereto; and it is further

ORDERED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from or related to this Order.

Dated: _____, 2014
New York, New York

THE HONORABLE MARTIN GLENN
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

Exhibit A

In re RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, et al. (CASE NO. 12-12020 (MG)) (JOINTLY ADMINISTERED)

FIFTY-FIFTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION - NO LIABILITY - ASSIGNED CONTRACT CLAIMS (NON-BORROWER CLAIMS)

Claims to be Disallowed and Expunged						
	Name of Claimant	Claim Number	Date Filed	Claim Amount	Asserted Debtor Name	Asserted Case Number
1	ALLIED PRINTING RESOURCES 33 COMMERCE RD CARLSTADT, NJ 07072	547	09/17/2012	\$0.00 Administrative Priority \$0.00 Administrative Secured \$0.00 Secured \$0.00 Priority \$4,646.59 General Unsecured	GMAC Mortgage, LLC	12-12032
2	California Housing Finance Agency Attn Gregory Carter P.O. Box 40234 Sacramento , CA 95184-4034	4631	11/13/2012	\$0.00 Administrative Priority \$0.00 Administrative Secured \$159,092.30 Secured \$0.00 Priority UNLIQUIDATED General Unsecured	Residential Capital, LLC	12-12020
3	California Housing Finance Agency Attn Gregory Carter P.O. Box 40234 Sacramento , CA 95184-4034	4632	11/13/2012	\$0.00 Administrative Priority \$0.00 Administrative Secured \$159,092.30 Secured \$0.00 Priority UNLIQUIDATED General Unsecured	GMAC Mortgage, LLC	12-12032
4	Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation Attn Kenton Hambrick, Associate General Counsel 8200 Jones Branch Drive, M/S 202 McLean, VA 22102	4875	11/15/2012	\$0.00 Administrative Priority \$0.00 Administrative Secured \$0.00 Secured \$0.00 Priority \$89,300.00 General Unsecured	Residential Funding Company, LLC	12-12019
5	Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation Attn Kenton Hambrick, Associate General Counsel 8200 Jones Branch Drive, M/S 202 McLean, VA 22102	4899	11/15/2012	\$0.00 Administrative Priority \$0.00 Administrative Secured \$0.00 Secured \$0.00 Priority \$73,364,105.09 General Unsecured	GMAC Mortgage, LLC	12-12032

In re RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, et al. (CASE NO. 12-12020 (MG)) (JOINTLY ADMINISTERED)

FIFTY-FIFTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION - NO LIABILITY - ASSIGNED CONTRACT CLAIMS (NON-BORROWER CLAIMS)

Claims to be Disallowed and Expunged						
	Name of Claimant	Claim Number	Date Filed	Claim Amount	Asserted Debtor Name	Asserted Case Number
6	Hewlett-Packard Company Attn Ken Higman 12610 Park Plaza Dr. Suite 100 Cerritos, CA 90703	2224	11/06/2012	\$68.81 Administrative Priority \$0.00 Administrative Secured \$0.00 Secured \$0.00 Priority \$365,801.75 General Unsecured	Residential Capital, LLC	12-12020
7	Hewlett-Packard Company Attn Ken Higman 12610 Park Plaza Dr. Suite 100 Cerritos, CA 90703	2226	11/06/2012	\$68.81 Administrative Priority \$0.00 Administrative Secured \$0.00 Secured \$0.00 Priority \$365,801.75 General Unsecured	GMAC Residential Holding Company, LLC	12-12033
8	Hewlett-Packard Company Attn Ken Higman 12610 Park Plaza Dr. Suite 100 Cerritos, CA 90703	2231	11/06/2012	\$68.81 Administrative Priority \$0.00 Administrative Secured \$0.00 Secured \$0.00 Priority \$365,801.75 General Unsecured	Residential Funding Company, LLC	12-12019
9	Hewlett-Packard Company Attn Ken Higman 12610 Park Plaza Dr. Suite 100 Cerritos, CA 90703	2234	11/06/2012	\$68.81 Administrative Priority \$0.00 Administrative Secured \$0.00 Secured \$0.00 Priority \$365,801.75 General Unsecured	GMAC Mortgage USA Corporation	12-12031
10	Hewlett-Packard Company Attn Ken Higman 12610 Park Plaza Dr. Suite 100 Cerritos, CA 90703	2236	11/06/2012	\$68.81 Administrative Priority \$0.00 Administrative Secured \$0.00 Secured \$0.00 Priority \$365,801.75 General Unsecured	GMAC Mortgage, LLC	12-12032

In re RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, et al. (CASE NO. 12-12020 (MG)) (JOINTLY ADMINISTERED)

FIFTY-FIFTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION - NO LIABILITY - ASSIGNED CONTRACT CLAIMS (NON-BORROWER CLAIMS)

Claims to be Disallowed and Expunged						
	Name of Claimant	Claim Number	Date Filed	Claim Amount	Asserted Debtor Name	Asserted Case Number
11	Sprint Nextel Attn Bankruptcy Dept Sprint Nextel - Correspondence P.O. Box 7949 Overland Park, KS 66207-0949	286	07/18/2012	\$0.00 Administrative Priority \$0.00 Administrative Secured \$0.00 Secured \$32,498.31 Priority \$0.00 General Unsecured	Residential Capital, LLC	12-12020